

A Technical Perspective on Zero Energy Buildings

RESNET Conference March 1, 2016, Scottsdale, AZ Neil Leslie, Gas Technology Institute (847) 768-0926, neil.leslie@gastechnology.org



Evolution of Building Energy Codes Toward Net Zero



Owner Investments Impact Natural Resources and the Environment

- >Owners impact natural resources and the environment every time they make a technology investment (economic) choice
 - If owners don't use the energy, it will not be supplied
 - Owners have control over their investment decisions
- Standards that drive owners to make poor building energy investment choices cause negative impact on natural resources and the environment
 - Increased consumption of higher impact energy
 - Decreased consumption of lower impact energy
 - Net increase in negative impacts

g

Different Metrics and Methods Needed Depending on Primary Intent



- > Site energy is needed when the primary intent focuses on measuring and monitoring, and is the essential starting point for converting to energy costs, primary energy, and greenhouse gas emissions attributable to design options or building operation.
- > Energy cost is needed when the primary intent focuses on economic objectives.
- > Primary energy is needed when the primary intent focuses on natural resources, the environment, or other societal impacts of energy use.
- > Environmental impacts need supplemental metrics using factors that convert site energy to primary energy and associated greenhouse gas emissions or other impacts.

Net Zero Energy (NZE) Buildings Issues

- > Policy movement promoting NZE
- > Net zero site energy definition favors all-electric
 - Easier for all-electric buildings to achieve net zero site energy
 - Direct gas use discouraged strongly based on site efficiency
- > Potential role for direct gas use with source energy, cost, TDV*, or emissions definition
 - High efficiency components, structure first priority
 - Natural gas direct use can reduce PV array size and cost compared to all-electric building with these metrics



Site Energy Is Insufficient for Use in Defining Zero Energy Buildings



Primary Energy Use Reduction by Increased Natural Gas End Use

- Efficient direct use of natural gas can significantly reduce primary energy consumption compared to electric resistance technologies
- >Natural gas direct use societal benefits
 - Low life-cycle costs to consumers
 - High primary energy efficiency
 - Low greenhouse gas emissions
 - Energy security
 - Domestic employment
 - Compatible with renewable methane

g1

Comparison of Source Efficiencies Delivered to Customers (%)



Full-Fuel-Cycle Efficiency of Electric Resistance Storage Water Heater



Full-Fuel-Cycle Efficiency of Natural Gas Storage Water Heater



Electric to Natural Gas Price Ratios (Historic and Projected)



US Electricity Generation Mix through 2040 – EIA 2015 Forecast

Figure 31. Electricity generation by fuel in the Reference case, 2000-2040 (trillion kilowatthours)



Coal, gas, and nuclear dominate U.S. power generation mix through 2040

RESNET HERS Index



- Integer value based on "linear" scale
 - 1 point = 1% change in site energy use relative to reference home, with adjustments
 - > Normalized, modified load method for natural gas
 - Reference home Index value = 100
 - Home with no net purchased energy Index value = 0



IgCC Zero Energy Performance Index (zEPI) Based on Primary Energy

- >zEPI = 52 * (Proposed building performance/ Baseline building performance)
 - Proposed building performance = the source energy use for the proposed design
 - Baseline building performance = the source energy use for the baseline design
- >52 = a fixed value representing the source energy performance of an ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013 Appendix G baseline building



DOE Zero Energy Building Definition Based on Primary (Source) Energy

An energy-efficient *building,* where on a *source energy* basis, the actual *annual delivered energy* is less than or equal to the on-site renewable *exported energy*.



Site Boundary of Energy Transfer



Figure 1 - Site Boundary of Energy Transfer for Zero Energy Accounting

1. The dashed lines represent energy transfer within the boundary

2. The solid lines represent energy transfer entering/leaving the boundary used for zero energy accounting



Commercial Building Possibility for Net Zero Energy Performance



Source: http://www.buildinggreen.com/auth/article.cfm/2010/7/30/The-Problem-with-Net-Zero-Buildings-and-the-Case-for-Net-Zero-Neighborhoods/

ASHRAE Conference Paper LV-11-C041, Published January 2011

- >Gas Usage Roadmap to Zero Energy Homes
 - Authors: Ryan Kerr, Doug Kosar
 - Describes how current design and operation approaches could limit the actual performance of buildings
 - Explains process needed to address plug and process loads in any low energy building
 - Provides natural gas usage scenarios in net-zero energy homes

Objective

- Provide a Roadmap for Natural Gas Use in Zero Energy Homes (ZEHs)
 - ZEHs are homes with net-zero annual energy consumption



qti

Homes Evaluation: Chicago- Cold

Chicago Homes	Source Energy Use (MBtu/yr)	Source Energy Savings	Total Therms	Therm Savings	Carbon Emissions (Ibs/yr)	Carbon Savings	Incremental Cost	Cash Flow (\$/yr)
Chicago Benchmark	368	NA	1943	0%	47,892	0%	\$0.00	\$0.00
Chicago 30% Home	242	29.7%	1261	35%	33,771	29%	\$5,216.55	\$537.53
Chicago 70% Home	121	67.1%	517	73%	16,999	65%	\$32,969.59	-\$369.17
Chicago 70% Home- All Electric	148	59.8%	0	100%	21,494	55%	\$32,859.59	-\$612.89

→ Electric Features:

- 18 SEER/9.2 HSPF Heat Pump
- 98 EF Tankless + Solar Water Heating
- Induction Cooktop, Electric Dryer (AT)

gti

Homes Evaluation: Chicago Summary

- Homes approaching 50% savings are technically possible and cost-effective
- Thermal loads greatly reduced, plug loads remain
 - Electric Savings ~ 50%
 - Natural Gas Savings ~ 75%
 - Gas still most cost-effective, carbon and energy efficient fuel for thermal loads in high performance homes (Dryer, cooking, space and water heating)

ASHRAE Conference Paper AT-15-C0002, Published June 2015

- >The Path to Achieving Zero Net Energy Homes Energy Choices, Consumer Costs, and the Environment
 - Authors: Larry Brand, Martha Brook, Neil Leslie
 - Describes how to minimize energy cost for net-zero and positive energy buildings
 - Describes how solar photovoltaic (PV) systems can be sized for all-electric and mixed fuel zero net energy (ZNE) houses
 - Shows that using site energy or time dependent valuation can produce significantly different solar PV size for ZNE houses

How Much PV with TDV?



>ZNE PV should follow efficiency improvements

23

Source: ASHRAE Conference Paper AT-15-C0002

How Much PV with Site Energy?



>PV system 9% larger with site energy

Source: ASHRAE Conference Paper AT-15-C0002

Consumer Costs



> PV Costs are 2-9% more than the cost of energy over 30 yrs.

Source: ASHRAE Conference Paper AT-15-C0002

ASHRAE Conference Paper Conclusions

- > Applying solar PV systems to all electric or mixed fuel houses is straightforward
- > All electric houses require a larger PV system than mixed fuel to achieve ZNE when using the TDV methodology
- > Using site energy instead of TDV adds 1.1 kW to the PV size on average
- > NPV of the utility costs over 30 years is 6% less than the PV system (cost of High E upgrades not considered) – PV system costs need to drop significantly for ZNE to be cost-effective
- > Policy issues need to be addressed to size PV systems for the whole house load

Summary

Efficient direct use of fossil fuels can significantly reduce full-fuel-cycle energy consumption compared to electric resistance technologies

>Several definitions for net zero energy

- High bias toward all-electric buildings to achieve net zero site energy
- Role for natural gas with a source energy-based definition (primary energy, GHG emissions, TDV)
- It will be impractical to achieve net zero energy in a large number of buildings and locations

g